

BEAVER CREEK RESTORATION PROJECT

RFQ - ISSUED 02.28.2020

Geauga Park District

No.	QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES
1	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Other than Exhibit A to the RFQ, was a concept plan prepared for the project? GPD Response: No.</p>
2	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Did Geauga Park District prepare Exhibit A or a separate concept plan? GPD Response: Yes, Geauga Park District did prepare Exhibit A.</p>
3	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: If Geauga Park District did not prepare Exhibit A or a separate concept plan, who did? GPD Response: Not Applicable. See previous answer.</p>
4	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Does Geauga Park District expect historical and/or cultural resources to be a component of this project? GPD Response: Design-Builder is responsible for obtaining all necessary local, state, and federal reviews, approvals, and permits required to complete the project.</p>
5	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Can Geauga Park District provide a sample of the contract/agreement intended to be used for the project? GPD Response: If a firm is shortlisted and invited to submit a Request for Proposal, the standard form of contract/agreement will be included.</p>
6	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Is the funding acquired for this project intended to restore the floodplain and watercourses? Or is it capable of supporting infrastructure improvements? GPD Response: Yes, the funding acquired for this project is intended to restore the floodplain and watercourses. It is not intended for supporting infrastructure improvements.</p>
7	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: The RFP goals as stated in the RFQ are clearly targeting restoration of aquatic resources but the RFQ alludes to other park infrastructure improvements (trails/parking/buildings shown in Figure G(2)). Are these other trails and infrastructure improvements in the expected scope of work and budget? GPD Response: Other trails and infrastructure improvements are NOT expected in the scope of work and budget.</p>
8	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Please provide a copy of the WRRSP grant application. What are the restoration requirements of the WRRSP grant application? GPD Response: See attached application.</p>
9	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Does Geauga Park want other infrastructure designed as part of this scope of work? If yes, what specifically are those infrastructure items and what are the budget for those items? GPD Response: No. The Geauga Park District does not want other infrastructure designed as part of this scope of work.</p>
10	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Will the design-builder be paid for all project elements specified by the Geauga Park District, regardless of whether or not they are determined to be fundable by the WRRSP program (i.e., as discussed on page 5 of the RFQ)? GPD Response: Only work covered under the funding through the WRRSP program will be eligible for payment.</p>
11	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Will Geauga Park be conducting post permit compliance monitoring? GPD Response: Yes.</p>
12	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: What happens if SHPO won't allow earth disturbance due to cultural resources? GPD Response: Design-Builder is responsible for developing and obtaining all local, state, and federal reviews and permits required for project. Should there be an issue with SHPO, the Design-Builder needs to address this early in the design phase and should provide an alternative solution.</p>
13	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Is the design-builder responsible for attaining a VIBI score of 50 for the restored riparian wetlands immediately post construction (i.e., Goal 2 on pages 3-4 of the RFQ) or is that the long term goal of the project? GPD Response: The design-builder is not responsible for attaining a VIBI score of 50 immediately post construction. Per the grant, this is a long-term goal and post construction monitoring by the Geauga Park District will occur for at least 5 years (or until the objectives are attained) and include a QHEI for the stream and full VIBI-FQ for the wetlands.</p>
14	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Is the design-builder responsible for attaining a minimum AmphIBI score for the restored riparian wetlands (i.e., Goal 3 on page 4 of the RFQ) wetlands immediately post construction? GPD Response: The design-builder is not responsible for attaining a minimum AmphIBI score immediately post construction. Per the grant, this is a long-term goal and post construction monitoring by the Geauga Park District will occur for at least 5 years (or until the objectives are attained) and include a QHEI for the stream and full VIBI-FQ for the wetlands.</p>
15	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Is there a minimum contingency (as percent of total project cost) required by the Geauga Park District for design-build projects (Item 3 – Development of the GMP on pages 5-6 of the RFQ)? If yes, is this contingency part of the overall grant amount? GPD Response: The minimum contingency required by the Geauga Park District for design-build projects is 10% and it will be part of the overall grant amount.</p>
16	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Is there a performance bond requirement? If yes, can the bond requirement part of the overall grant amount? GPD Response: Yes, there is a performance bond requirement and is part of the overall grant amount.</p>
17	<p>Date Question Received: 03.10.2020 Question: Do subcontractors and subconsultants included on the design-builder team require a separate pre-qualification by the Geauga Park District, in addition to this RFQ/RFP review and selection process (Item 5 – Open Book on page 6 of the RFQ)? GPD Response: There will not be a separate pre-qualification by the Geauga Park District for any subcontractors or subconsultants.</p>